



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

July 13, 2016

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Rates for Interstate Inmate Calling Services, WC Docket No. 12-375

Dear Ms. Dortch:

In the ongoing proceeding in the above-referenced docket, we consider whether changes to the Commission's rules are necessary to ensure fair, just, and reasonable rates for inmate calling services (ICS). For the sake of completeness, and in order to ensure that the record is as robust as possible while we consider these and other future ICS rules, we now add to the record the public versions of the motions and pleadings in the related litigation before the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit).¹

With this letter, the Wireline Competition Bureau notes that the following readily-available information may be relevant to a number of issues raised in the proceeding and may be considered as part of the record in this proceeding: motions, pleadings, and briefs of the parties;² FCC filings related to the litigation;³ and opinions released by the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals.⁴

¹ See *Global Tel*Link v. FCC*, No. 15-1451 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

² See Motion of Global Tel*Link for Partial Stay Pending Judicial Review, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1595450 (filed Jan. 27, 2016); Securus Technologies, Inc. Emergency Motion for Partial Stay of FCC Order 15-136 Pending Review, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1595628 (filed Jan. 27, 2016); Motion of Telmate, LLC for Stay Pending Judicial Review, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1596259 (filed Jan. 29, 2016); Motion of CenturyLink Public Communications, Inc. for Partial Stay Pending Judicial Review, USCA Case #15-146, Document #1597573 (filed Feb. 5, 2016); Motion of CenturyLink Public Communications, Inc. for Partial Stay Pending Judicial Review, USCA Case #15-146, Document #1597573 (filed Feb. 5, 2016); Movant-Intervenors' Joint Opposition to Motions for Stay Pending Judicial Review, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1598725 (filed Feb. 12, 2016); Reply of Global Tel*Link in Support of its Motion for Partial Stay Pending Judicial Review, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1599657 (filed Feb. 19, 2016); Securus Technologies, Inc. Reply in Support of Emergency Motion for Partial Stay of FCC Order 15-136 Pending Review, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1599788 (filed Feb. 19, 2016); Reply by Telmate LLC for Stay Pending Judicial Review, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1599816 (filed Feb. 19, 2016); Reply of CenturyLink Public Communications, Inc. in Support of Motion for Partial Stay Pending Judicial Review, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1599768 (filed Feb. 19, 2016); The Wright Petitioners' Opposition to Oklahoma's Motion for Leave to File Motion for Stay Pending Judicial Review and Motion for Stay of FCC Rule, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1600986 (filed Feb. 26, 2016); Separate Brief of Petitioner Securus Technologies, Inc., USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1616683 (filed June 3, 2016); Brief of State and Local Government Petitioners, USCA Case #15-146, Document #1617181 (filed June 6, 2016); Joint Brief for the ICS Carrier Petitioners, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1617174 (filed June 6, 2016).

³ Opposition of Respondent The Federal Communications Commission to Motion for Partial Stay, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1598743 (filed Feb. 12, 2016); Opposition of Respondent The Federal Communications

(continued...)

Respectfully Submitted,

_____/s/_____
Kristine Fargotstein
Legal Advisor to the Bureau Chief
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications Commission

(Continued from previous page) _____
Commission to Motion for Leave to File Motion for a Stay and Lodged Motion for a Stay, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1600991 (filed Feb. 26, 2016); Opposition of the Federal Communications Commission to Motions to Modify, Reconsider, or Enforce Stay, USCA Case #15-1461, Document #1605164 (filed Mar. 22, 2016).

⁴ *Global Tel*Link v. FCC*, No. 15-1451 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 7, 2016); *Global Tel*Link v. FCC*, No. 15-1451 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 23, 2016).